

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/499,229 02/07/2000		02/07/2000	Toshio Nakakuki	2933SE-90	9948	
22442	7590	02/02/2004		EXAM	NER	
SHERIDA		PC .	HENN, TIM	HENN, TIMOTHY J		
1560 BROA SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER,	CO 80202	2		2612	2	
				DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	. ^	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			/499,229	NAKAKUKI ET AL.				
			aminer	Art Unit				
		Tin	nothy J Henn	2612				
	MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	:s			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period I - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	INED STATOTORY PERIOD IN MG DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY of time may be available under the provision of the maximum strength of the	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may a reply the statutory minimum of thirty (3 by and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this commun  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
	onsive to communication(s) fi	ed on 07 Februs	arv 2000					
' <u>_</u>								
3) Since	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	·			•				
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Clain	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Clain	Claim(s) 1,4,6 and 9 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>2,3,5,7,8,10 and 11</u> is/are	objected to.						
8) Clain	n(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Application Page 1	apers							
•	pecification is objected to by t		_					
10)⊠ The d	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2000</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Dr	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/499,229

Art Unit: 2612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 02/08/1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 11-030377 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - i. Page 10, Line 26: Change "unit" to "units".

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masanaga et al. (US 5,115,269)in view of Mochizuki et al. (US 5,793,422).

[claim 1]

Art Unit: 2612

6. In regard to claim 1, note that Masanaga et al. discloses a solid-state imaging apparatus comprising a solid-state imaging sensor for accumulating information charges corresponding to an image of an object and generating an image signal in response to the information charges (Figure 1, Items 33, 34);

a driver, connected to the image sensor in accordance with a timing signal so that the information charges are accumulated in a predetermined exposure period, and for outputting the image signal from the image sensor (Figure 1, Item 10; The office notes that although Masanaga et al. does not specifically disclose the generation of timing signals, it is inherent that the image sensor is driven by various timing signals);

a first exposure information generating circuit for determining whether a level of the image signal is within a predetermined range and producing first exposure information (Figure 1, Items 10, 33 and Av; Figure 5, Item 59);

a second exposure information generating circuit for calculating second exposure information (Figure 1, Items 10, 33, Av and Sp; Figure 5, Item 55);

a selection circuit, connected to the first and second exposure information generating circuits, for selecting the first exposure information when the level of the image signal is outside of the predetermined range (Figure 1, Item 10; Figure 5, Item 52; The office notes that the predetermined range of Masanaga et al. is defined as average luminance levels from the second threshold level to infinity);

and a timing control circuit, connected to the driver, for receiving the exposure information selected by the selection circuit and generating the timing signal therefrom, wherein the timing signal defines the predetermined exposure period (Figure 1, Item 10;

would be controlled by the control unit (Column 5, Lines 16-18)).

Art Unit: 2612

The office notes that Masanaga et al. discloses that electronic shuttering processes can be used instead of a diaphragm, and that by using such a system the image sensor

Therefore, it can be seen that Masanaga et al. lacks a first exposure information generating circuit, which produces first exposure information, based upon the determination results of whether a level of the image signal output from the image sensor is within a predetermined range.

7. It is noted that the exposure control of the first exposure section is "based upon the average luminance Av", but does not specifically discloses how it is performed. The office notes that exposure control based upon an average luminance is well known in the art, one such example is given in Mochizuki et al. (US 5,793,422). Mochizuki et al. teaches comparing an average luminance with a range, and altering the exposure time if the average luminance falls outside of that range (Figure 3). Mochizuki et al. teaches an exposure control system which uses determinations of whether or not a luminance value is within a predetermined range, and adjusts the exposure time based on the determinations, this allows control of image intensity by changing the signal charge accumulation time (Column 18, Lines 52-54). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the exposure control system of Mochizuki et al. as the "Average Photometering" process of Masanaga et al. to allow control of image intensity by changing the signal charge accumulation time.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,229

Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

8. It can further be seen that Masanaga et al. lacks first and second exposure generating circuits, which use image signals output from the image sensor to produce exposure information. Instead, Masanaga et al. uses a photometric device (Figure 1, Item 33; Column 3, Lines 54-58).

The office notes that it is well known in the art that separate photometric devices are not a requirement since luminance data can be taken from the image sensor itself, one such example of this an be found in Mochizuki et al. where average luminance information is obtained using the image sensor (Figure 1, Item 2), a sample and hold circuit (Figure 1, Item 3) and a low-pass filter (Figure 1, Item 9). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use data collected from the image sensor rather than a separate photometric device to reduce the number of components in the camera (Official Notice).

## [claim 4]

9. In regard to claim 4, note that the exposure information generating circuit of Mochizuki et al. includes an exposure decision circuit for determining, every predetermined period, whether the level of the image signal output from the image sensor is within the predetermined range and generating a decision signal (Figure 4; Column 8, Lines 25-34); and

an up/down counter, connected to the exposure decision circuit, for performing an up count operation or a down count operation in accordance with the decision signal, and generating the first exposure information (Figure 4; Column 9, Lines 34-32).

#### [claim 6]

Application/Control Number: 09/499,229 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

10. In regard to claim 6, although neither Masanaga et al. nor Mochizuki et al. specifically disclose drain and transfer pulses, the use of such pulses are well known in the electronic shuttering art. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use drain and transfer pulses such as those claimed to drive the image sensor of Masanaga et al. in view of Mochizuki et

[claim 9]

al.

11. Claim 9 is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 9 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

# Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## [claims 2 and 3]

13. In regard to claims 2 and 3, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a solid-state imaging apparatus including first and second exposure circuits in which the first exposure circuit determines whether a level of an image signal is within a predetermined range, where the predetermined range is defined by upper and lower limits.

### [claim 5]

Art Unit: 2612

14. In regard to claim 5, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a solid-state imaging apparatus in which second exposure information is generated by a circuit which includes a timing calculation circuit for receiving the exposure information selected by a selection circuit and calculating second exposure information which specifies an optimum exposure time using the selected exposure information and the image signal. [claims 7, 8, 10 and 11]

15. In regard to claims 7, 8, 10 and 11, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a solid-state imaging apparatus that generates a field during a vertical scan period and wherein the first exposure information generating circuit updates the first exposure information every vertical scan period.

#### Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior further shows the current state of the art in exposure control systems:

a.	Hiagashitsutsumi	JP 03-101384A
b.	Dong	US 5,734,426
C.	Tsuchiya	US 6,188,434
d.	Shibuya et al.	US 6,239,840

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J Henn whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 09/499,229

Art Unit: 2612

8327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TJH 1/22/2004

> NGOC-YEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8